Judging Criteria

1. Problem and Solution
   a. Does the team clearly articulate a problem that has a connection to health impacted by extreme climate and natural disasters?
   b. Does the team propose a compelling solution to the problem?
   c. Does the team demonstrate an understanding of why the problem still exists and how they can address the complexities they will face?

2. Innovation
   a. Does the solution address usability, cost and timing constraints specific to their idea?
   b. Is the team knowledgeable about similar efforts by others in the past and does the solution address challenges others have found?
   c. How innovative is the solution in comparison to what’s already on the market/already implemented?
   d. Does the team provide a convincing rationale for why their idea will resolve the problem?
   e. How likely is the solution to succeed?

3. Prototype/Policy Design
   a. How well has the idea or prototype been designed?
   b. How evident is it that a lot of thought, innovation, and trial-and-error went into the idea or prototype’s design?

4. Teamwork
   a. Does the team demonstrate that it was a collaborative effort?
   b. Are multiple disciplines and perspectives represented?

5. Presentation
   a. How effective was the presentation overall?
   b. Was the problem clearly and compelling articulated, presented, and visualized? How about the solution?
   c. How much has the team accomplished in a short timeframe? Is it lesser or greater than expected?

SCORING:

5=Excellent
4=Very Good
3=Good
2=Fair
1=Poor

These are guidelines we provide for the judges and are not part of a hard set rubric.